

## References from SCI 2020, “Rigor and Reporting in SCI Research”

Dana McTigue, PhD

- Aschwanden, C., (2015, August 19). Science isn't broken. It's just a hell of a lot harder than we give it credit for. <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/science-isnt-broken/#part1>
- Callahan, A., Anderson, K. D., Beattie, M. S., Bixby, J. L., Ferguson, A. R., Fouad, K., ... Lemmon, V. P. (2017). Developing a data sharing community for spinal cord injury research. *Experimental Neurology*, 295, 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2017.05.012>
- Gorroochurn, P., Hodge, S. E., Heiman, G. A., Durner, M., & Greenberg, D. A. (2007). Non-replication of association studies: “pseudo-failures” to replicate? *Genetics in Medicine*, 9(6), 325–331. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GIM.0b013e3180676d79>
- Landis, S. C., Amara, S. G., Asadullah, K., Austin, C. P., Blumenstein, R., Bradley, E. W., ... Silberberg, S. D. (2012). A call for transparent reporting to optimize the predictive value of preclinical research. *Nature*, 490(7419), 187–191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11556>
- Macleod, M. R., Fisher, M., O'Collins, V., Sena, E. S., Dirnagl, U., Bath, P. M. W., ... Howells, D. W. (2009). Good laboratory practice: preventing introduction of bias at the bench. *Stroke*, 40(3). <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.525386>
- NINDS, Improving the Quality of NINDS-Supported Preclinical and Clinical Research through Rigorous Study Design and Transparent Reporting; Retrieved from: [http://www.ninds.nih.gov/funding/transparency\\_in\\_reporting\\_guidance.pdf](http://www.ninds.nih.gov/funding/transparency_in_reporting_guidance.pdf)
- Pound, P., & Ritskes-Hoitinga, M. (2018). Is it possible to overcome issues of external validity in preclinical animal research? Why most animal models are bound to fail. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 16(1), 304. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-018-1678-1>
- Prager, E. M., Chambers, K. E., Plotkin, J. L., McArthur, D. L., Bandrowski, A. E., Bansal, N., ... Graf, C. (2019). Improving transparency and scientific rigor in academic publishing. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, 97(4), 377–390. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.24340>
- Simard, J. M., & Gerzanich, V. (2012). When replication teaches more than the original experiment — the saga of the unknown unknown. *Experimental Neurology*, 233(2), 623–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.03.023>
- Steward, O., Popovich, P. G., Dietrich, W. D., & Kleitman, N. (2012). Replication and reproducibility in spinal cord injury research. *Experimental Neurology*, 233(2), 597–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.06.017>

Watzlawick, R., Sena, E. S., Dirnagl, U., Brommer, B., Kopp, M. A., Macleod, M. R., ... Schwab, J. M. (2014). Effect and reporting bias of rhoa/rock-blockade intervention on locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurology*, 71(1), 91. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.4684>

NINDS, Improving the Quality of NINDS-Supported Preclinical and Clinical Research through Rigorous Study Design and Transparent Reporting; Retrieved from: [http://www.ninds.nih.gov/funding/transparency\\_in\\_reporting\\_guidance.pdf](http://www.ninds.nih.gov/funding/transparency_in_reporting_guidance.pdf)

NINDS, A Visionary Resource for Instilling Fundamental Principles of Rigorous Neuroscience Research; Retrieved from: <https://videocast.nih.gov/Summary.asp?Live=28032&bhcp=1>